



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,047	02/17/2004	Steven P. Gygi	57559 (70207)	8390
21874 7590 11/17/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205				
EXAMINER				
SIEN, BIN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1657				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/17/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/781,047

**Applicant(s)**

GYGI ET AL.

**Examiner**

BIN SHEN

**Art Unit**

1657

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BIN SHEN.(3) STEVEN GYGI.(2) JON WEBER.(4) GREGORY BUTLER.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Desiderio et al. and Gerber et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was argued that the claimed method can detect peptides that have been modified, but neither of the prior art reasonably suggest that this can be done. It was suggested that claim 6 be amended to distinguish the instant invention from Desiderio's teaching on this basis. Arguments regarding long felt need, commercial success, and non-analogous art were not deemed persuasive.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bin Shen/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1657